

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,766	03/08/2004	Oleh G. Pankewycz	11520.0352	2608	
7590 10/04/2004			EXAMINER		
Hodgson Russ LLP			ROOKE, AGNES BEATA		
Suite 2000 1800 One M &	T Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Buffalo, NY 14203-2391			1653		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/795,766	PANKEWYCZ, OLEH G.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Agnes B Rooke	1653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the E edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application Ority documents have been received Ority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4 are drawn to polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2; polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1; polynucleotide sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO:1; polynucleotide sequence comprising degenerate substitutions of SEQ ID NO:1; RNA sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:1; RNA sequence complementary to SEQ ID NO:1; a vector, and a host cell genetically engineered to contain the vector; classified in class 435, subclass 69.1;320.1, 252.3; class 536, subclass 32.1.
- Claim 5 is drawn to isolated peptide comprising an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 539, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 6-12 are drawn to a method of using DNA to detect polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, 24.3, 24.33.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related. The nucleic acids of Invention I is related to the protein of Invention II by virtue of encoding the same. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in host cell, as recited in the Claims of Invention I. In the present claims, a polynucleotide of Invention I does

Application/Control Number: 10/795,766

Art Unit: 1653

not necessarily encode a polypeptide of Invention II. Although the DNA molecule and protein are related, since the DNA encodes the specifically claimed protein, they are distinct inventions because the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source using biochemical means. For instance, the polypeptide can be isolated using affinity chromatography. Further, the DNA may be used for processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization assay. For these reasons, the Inventions I and II are patently distinct.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Invention I can be used in another, a materially different method or process, such as recombinant production of a protein.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, isolated polypeptide of SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 10/795,766

Art Unit: 1653

NO:2 is not necessary for the practice of Invention III. The polypeptide can be used to catalyze an enzymatic reaction and not in a method of identifying altered expression of human gene.

The Inventions I, II, and III have separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. As such, it would be burdensome to search any combination of the Inventions I, II, and III together. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the

Application/Control Number: 10/795,766

Art Unit: 1653

rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the Invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agnes Rooke whose telephone number is 571-272-2055. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

Art Unit: 1653

the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

AR

JON WEBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER